

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DA |                       | FILING DATE             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY  | DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------|------------------|--|
| 09/986,384                | 09/986,384 11/08/2001 |                         | Gregory Wright       | 21576     | 50US28        | 5139             |  |
| 22850                     | 2850 7590 10/19/2006  |                         |                      |           | EXAMINER      |                  |  |
| C. IRVIN N                |                       | LLAND<br>ICCLELLAND, MA | BRINICH, STEPHEN M   |           |               |                  |  |
| 1940 DUKE                 |                       | •                       | ART                  | UNIT      | PAPER NUMBER  |                  |  |
| ALEXAND                   | 22314                 | 2625                    |                      |           |               |                  |  |
|                           |                       |                         |                      | DATE MAIL | ED: 10/19/200 | 6                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application Number O9/986,384 Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC Application/Control No. Applicatios/Patent under Reexamination WRIGHT, GREGORY Art Unit 2424

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

|   | 1 198181 11811 018   | III ISBIG ETBI ISBE   | •   |
|---|--|---|---|
| This is in response to the Pre-Appe   | eal Brief Request for Re   | eview filed <u>08/26/2006</u> .   |   |
| <ol> <li>Improper Request – The reason(s):</li> </ol>   | e Request is improper a  | and a conference will no  | t be held for the following   |
| The request does not in   | nclude reasons why a r   | urrent with the Pre-Appe<br>review is appropriate.<br>Pre-Appeal Brief request                                      | •   |
| The time period for filing a resp<br>the mail date of the last Office   |  |   |   |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Pa held. The application remains us required to submit an appeal brief will be reset to be one morunning from the receipt of the appeal brief is extendible under of the notice of appeal, as application. | under appeal because to<br>brief in accordance with<br>onth from mailing this de<br>notice of appeal, which<br>or 37 CFR 1.136 based | there is at least one actu<br>th 37 CFR 41.37. The tile<br>ecision, or the balance of<br>lever is greater. Further, | ual issue for appeal. Applicant<br>me period for filing an appeal<br>f the two-month time period<br>the time period for filing of the |
| The panel has determ Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from c   | ·  | elaim(s) is as follows:   |   |
| 3. Allowable application – Allowance will be mailed. Prose applicant at this time.  |  |   |   |
| 4. <b>⊠ Reopen Prosecution</b> – A action will be mailed. No furthe   |  |   | ithdrawn and a new Office   |
| All participants:   |  |   | and More  |
| 1) <u>David K. Moore</u> .  |  | (3)   | DAISD 110000  |
| (2) Steven Brinich.   | Brinish  | <b>(4)</b> .  | DAVID MOORE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER   |

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600